	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/463,681	BLUM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kishor Mayekar	1753
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Kishor Mayekar.	(3)	
(2) Christopher M. Beck.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
Rejection(3) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 1, 5-7, 9 and 10.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Prior art of record		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN		
to remove minor inconsistencies in claims 5-7 by replacing the "product-by-process" claims not distinguishabble from the prio	claims with new claims 20-22 r art; and to amend claim 1 by	2; to delete claims 9 and 10 as v an examnier's amendment.
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)